

Date: Tue, 6 Apr 93 14:51:19 PDT  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #419  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Tue, 6 Apr 93                      Volume 93 : Issue 419

Today's Topics:

                    "CB transplants"  
            ALERT: Minor Geomagnetic Storm Alert  
            ARRL/QST's advertising policies  
            ARRL Advertising Policy  
            ARRL living in the past?  
    Daily Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for 04 April  
            Drake TR-33C Manual needed  
            feeding dipole with 300-ohm twinlead  
            Rec.radio.reorg won't work, anyway.  
            Some R5/R7 antenna questions...  
            Wanted: Best Source for Antenna Wire  
            Worked Him???? (3 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.  
-----

Date: Sun, 4 Apr 1993 22:41:09 GMT  
From: swrinde!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!darwin.sura.net!knuth.mtsu.edu!raider!  
theporch!jackatak!jackhill@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: "CB transplants"  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

zardoz@ornews.intel.com (Jim Garver) writes:  
> I have never met anyone who has told me they actually conducted two-way  
> communication on 11 meters when it was a ham band.

Hmmm. Several rigs available in my youth had 11 meters built in, but

when I was first licensed (1956) I couldn't afford to buy anything, so I built a rig that was xtal controlled for Novice CW. No 11 meters there, but when I struggled into a General Ticket, the band was still a ham band, the fabulous sunspot cycle was on the upswing, and 11 meters was a gold mine for a kid running low power CW. It was open nearly around the clock and had great DX on it... I was amazed when I read the rules about the "new" Citizens Band that was to be put where my favorite band was -- with the same kind of power limits I used... And the FCC expected this band to be a local only!?! Hah! It was a killer for DX then, and never lost the magic for me...

Yes, I made real, legal, good contacts on 11 meters when it was still a Ham Band... and the lack of use was related to other measures than your comments imply... the band was taken away and given to a low-power Citizen (small business) band...and it stayed that way until the truckers began using channel 19 for "smokey reports" and the late 70's sunspot cycle made 11 meter DXing a fun sport for those without ham tickets...

Ah, those were some good days...thanks for reminding me of what once was. I'd almost forgotten how much I missed that band.

73

```
+-----+
| Jack GF Hill          Voice: (615) 459-2636   jackhill@jackatak.raider.net |
| P. O. Box 1685        Modem: (615) 377-5980      Compu$erve 76427,31 |
| Brentwood, TN 37024   Bicycling and SCUBA Diving      Ham Call: W4PPT |
+-----+
```

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 93 03:41:09 GMT  
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu  
Subject: ALERT: Minor Geomagnetic Storm Alert  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\

MINOR GEOMAGNETIC STORM ALERT

ISSUED: 03:15 UT, 05 APRIL

/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\

ATTENTION:

Geomagnetic activity has surpassed the minor storm threshold at 03:00 UTC on 05 April following a sudden storm commencement (SSC) at 14:34 UTC on 04 April. Minor to major storming followed the arrival of the SSC. Similar minor to major storming is expected for the next 24 to 36 hours before giving way to stabler conditions. High latitudes may observe brief periods of severe storming during the local night hours.

The GOES-6 and GOES-7 spacecraft experienced fairly important magnetopause crossings of approximately 2 hours.

HF propagation conditions have become increasingly degraded as the disturbance has matured. Poor to very poor propagation is expected over the high and polar latitudes for the next 24 to 48 hours. Middle latitudes are expected to see mostly fair to poor propagation during the night sectors and good to fair propagation during the daylight hours. Low latitudes should be less affected with mostly good propagation throughout the period. Greater improvements are expected over all regions on 07 and 08 April.

This disturbance appears to be the result of a well placed extension of the southern polar coronal hole.

\*\* End of Alert \*\*

-----

Date: Sun, 4 Apr 1993 17:21:20 GMT  
From: usc!wupost!csus.edu!netcom.com!jfh@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: ARRL/QST's advertising policies  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

ben@nj8j.blackwlf.mese.com (Ben Coleman) wrote:

>My understanding(posted by Tad Cook in the HAM Fidonet echo during a  
>thread on this issue) is that Lambda asked for an ad to be placed in a  
>particular issue \_after\_ the ad deadline for that issue had passed. Next  
>think ARRL knew, they were facing a discrimination suit based on refusing  
>that ad.

I've heard several different versions of what happened, all from people who are supposedly in a position to know what happened. No wonder history books are so hard to write; even with something as straightforward as this, with all the principals still alive, it's not easy to find out what really happened.

There are, however, two pieces of circumstantial evidence that make me think the ARRL is still engaging in discrimination:

- 1) No ads have appeared, despite the willingness of several parties to pay for one.
- 2) There are several ARRL employees on the net, and they have not been hesitant to post about ARRL policy in the past, but they haven't come forward and said "No, no, you've got it all wrong, we'd be glad to take an ad."

I really don't understand what the ARRL is doing. Eventually the policy is going to fall. Whether they like it or not, society is changing. It's a side issue for them anyway. It's certainly not a core issue for amateur radio, it's just advertising policy for one amateur radio society. Why not take the ad and get on with life?

--

-----  
Jack Hamilton KD6TTL jfh@netcom.com PO Box 281107 SF, CA 94128 USA

-----  
Date: Mon, 05 Apr 93 01:40:19 GMT  
From: netcon!bongo!skyld!jangus@locus.ucla.edu  
Subject: ARRL Advertising Policy  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jfh@netcom.com writes:

> I really don't understand what the ARRL is doing. Eventually the policy is  
> going to fall. Whether they like it or not, society is changing. It's a  
> side issue for them anyway. It's certainly not a core issue for amateur  
> radio, it's just advertising policy for one amateur radio society. Why not  
> take the ad and get on with life?  
> --  
> Jack Hamilton KD6TTL jfh@netcom.com PO Box 281107 SF, CA 94128 USA  
>

While we're at it, how about taking an ad for the National Man Boy Love Association? (NAMBLA) Assuming of course, that they profess an interest in Amateur Radio. What better way for older men to meet young boys than through a national magazine with the targeted coverage of QST?

Do not point at the Jewish amateur radio organization and use their ad as a justification for inclusion of Lambda in the advertising policy of QST. Unless you happen to be Nazi left over from WWII, or perhaps one of the

more rabid middle eastern groups, the Jewish organization does not espouse as controversial a "life style" that those of the Lambda group choose to pursue.

The ARRL is dedicated to Amateur Radio. Groups like such as the Jewish organization and the Quarter Century Wireless Association get mention in QST because their primary focus is Amateur radio. If the homosexuals want to form a group specifically for amateur radio, then they too will eventually grace the pages of QST.

When will the whiners figure it out that they really can have a life of their own without having to constantly draw attention to their personal choices? Which group will be the next to attack the ARRL for not showing them their support? Vietnam veterans? Disgruntled postal workers? Children of ex-prostitutes?

73 es GA from Jeff

--

netcom!bongo!jangus@skyld.tele.com "Als ik Kan", Gustav Stickley  
US Mail: PO Box 4425 Carson, CA 90749-4425 1 (310) 324-6080

-----

Date: 5 APR 93 09:15:53  
From: pa.dec.com!nntpd2.cxo.dec.com!nntpd.lkg.dec.com!ryn.mro4.dec.com!  
cimfie.enet.dec.com!taber@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: ARRL living in the past?  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Apr1.225218.21618@pixar.com>, Bruce@Pixar.com (Bruce Perens) writes...

>In article <9303302139.AA09642@ucsd.edu> POLS0@AIS.UCLA.EDU (Linda Stocks) >writes:

>> In response to the following thread...if the ARRL's attitude  
>> toward women has been condescending, I haven't noticed it.

>

>It's the language that I find the most offensive. I found it embarrassing  
>to explain to my non-ham fiance' that XYL meant "Ex-Young-Woman", and  
>was used to refer to any married woman. The ARRL perpetuates this usage  
>in QST, which is one reason I think they are living in the past.  
>To say this is OK is like saying it's OK to call a Black person a  
>"nigger".

>

Heavens to politically-correct Murgatroid! Can't bring yourself to say "Ex-Young-LADY" huh? It doesn't refer to "any married woman", it refers to specific married women, i.e. those to whom it is applied. If you don't like it, don't use it.

In its day, the term was funny -- adding "X" to "YL" as in "that was no lady, that was my wife." It was used as humor in place of the proper term, "YF" for "wife." Of course, we love to judge the past in the eyes of the present, and things that were funny once now raise the self-righteous, self-conscious yuppoid reactions you cite.

I'm not a religious person, but It's interesting to note that the biblical advice is that if something offend you, you pluck your own eye out and not that if something offend you, you take action against others. Life would be simpler (and usenet quiter) if people worried more about their own actions and less about their neighbors'.

>>>==>PStJTT

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 93 03:16:20 GMT  
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu  
Subject: Daily Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for 04 April  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 094, 04/04/93  
10.7 FLUX=116.3 90-AVG=133 SSN=095 BKI=1111 4556 BAI=026  
BGND-XRAY=B1.2 FLU1=6.3E+05 FLU10=1.1E+04 PKI=1111 4656 PAI=031  
BOU-DEV=006,009,007,009,050,076,080,145 DEV-AVG=047 NT SWF=00:000  
XRAY-MAX= B3.9 @ 2125UT XRAY-MIN= A7.5 @ 0708UT XRAY-AVG= B2.2  
NEUTN-MAX= +002% @ 0710UT NEUTN-MIN= -003% @ 0910UT NEUTN-AVG= -0.2%  
PCA-MAX= +0.2DB @ 0140UT PCA-MIN= -0.3DB @ 1600UT PCA-AVG= +0.0DB  
BOUTF-MAX=55432NT @ 2345UT BOUTF-MIN=55327NT @ 1729UT BOUTF-AVG=55389NT  
GOES7-MAX=P:+177NT@ 2143UT GOES7-MIN=N:-156NT@ 1750UT G7-AVG=+083,+034,+006  
GOES6-MAX=P:+186NT@ 1438UT GOES6-MIN=E:-170NT@ 1751UT G6-AVG=+088,-013,-047  
FLUXFCST=STD:115,110,110;SESC:115,110,110 BAI/PAI-FCST=025,020,010/025,025,015  
KFCST=3455 5444 3445 4323 27DAY-AP=019,078 27DAY-KP=3332 3335 7876 4434  
WARNINGS=\*GSTRM;\*AURMIDWCH  
ALERTS=\*\*MAGSI:11NT@1434UTC  
!!END-DATA!!

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 03 APR 93 was 72.3.  
The Full Kp Indices for 03 APR 93 are: 1+ 1+ 1+ 1- 0+ 1o 1o 2o

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 93 08:57:58 EDT  
From: psinntp!arrrl.org@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Drake TR-33C Manual needed  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.misc, awinterb@diana.cair.du.edu (Art Winterbauer) writes:

>Am in the market for the manual (or photocopy thereof) for the  
>Drake TR-33C. Please let me know your price (plus mailing). 73 de  
>Art

Hi Manuals, POB 802, Council Bluffs, IA 51502, no telephone, has one  
available postpaid for \$16.25 in US, Canada, Mexico. Others add 20%  
for surface, 30% for air delivery. Payment in a check drawn on US  
bank or via international money order.

Note, they do not do business by phone, but if you send the \$, you  
will get the manual. A catalog is available for \$2.

A MANUALS file is available from our automated info server, [info@arrl.org](mailto:info@arrl.org).  
Send a message to [info@arrl.org](mailto:info@arrl.org) with

help  
index  
send manuals  
quit

as the only text of the message.

73 from ARRL HQ, Ed

-----

Ed Hare, KA1CV  
American Radio Relay League  
225 Main St.  
Newington, CT 06111  
(203) 666-1541 - voice  
ARRL Laboratory Supervisor  
RFI, xmtr and rcvr testing

[ehare@arrl.org](mailto:ehare@arrl.org)

You will never put the puzzle together  
if you keep putting all the pieces  
back in the box.

-----

-----

Date: 5 Apr 93 10:06:23 EST  
From: [titan.ksc.nasa.gov!k4dii.ksc.nasa.gov!user@ames.arpa](mailto:titan.ksc.nasa.gov!k4dii.ksc.nasa.gov!user@ames.arpa)  
Subject: feeding dipole with 300-ohm twinlead  
To: [info-hams@ucsd.edu](mailto:info-hams@ucsd.edu)

In article <BAT.93Mar28183747@gdstech.GRUMMAN.COM>, [bat@gdstech.GRUMMAN.COM](mailto:bat@gdstech.GRUMMAN.COM)  
(Pat Masterson) wrote:

> If you have a good matchbox, make the dipole as long as you can.  
> Anything at 130' or so is great. Feed it with any twin lead you can  
> (450 is a little better than 300 ohm line), and drive it with

> your matchbox. You will have a great antenna on any band. Especially  
> if it has some altitude.

Pat-

Back in the 50's when I got started, a popular antenna was called the "full Windom". It was actually not a Windom, but was an off-center-fed dipole, fed with 300 ohm twin lead. I don't recall the dimensions, but it was around 135 feet long. The theory was that the chosen feedpoint had approximately 300 ohms impedance on the harmonically related bands from 80 through 10 meters, so it could be matched to 75 ohms with a 4:1 balun. The "half Windom" was just a half-size version for limited space, and worked 40-10 meters.

Back in those days, many of us didn't understand things like SWR. Many rigs of that time had a Pi-Network output circuit, and could accomodate quite a range of load impedance. Therefore, an error in feedpoint impedance usually went unnoticed.

Note: A true "Windom" antenna isn't a dipole. It is a single wire, fed off-center with a random length of wire to a tuner. You don't hear much about Windom antennas. I suspect they are of historical significance only.

73, Fred, K4DII

[fred-mckenzie@ksc.nasa.gov](mailto:fred-mckenzie@ksc.nasa.gov)

-----

Date: Sun, 04 Apr 93 11:59:46 PDT  
From: [cs.ubc.ca!mala.bc.ca!oneb!ham!emd@beaver.cs.washington.edu](mailto:cs.ubc.ca!mala.bc.ca!oneb!ham!emd@beaver.cs.washington.edu)  
Subject: Rec.radio.reorg won't work, anyway.  
To: [info-hams@ucsd.edu](mailto:info-hams@ucsd.edu)

Many of the proponents for the reorganization of the rec.radio newsgroups have complained about the volume of news postings on r.r.a.m. By my count, r.r.a.m. is usually less than 100 articles per day. An active group, certainly, but not overwhelming, to be sure.

(And we could certainly reduce it even more if certain people would stop @#\$\$%^& CROSSPOSTING their bulletins! :-)

Given our experience with rec.radio.policy, which by any criteria has been a dismal failure at moving policy discussions off of r.r.a.m., however, why are those of you proposing the split confident that more newsgroups will work?



All that will occur is that EVERYBODY will cross-post to a r.r.a.m. as well as the specific group. All that you've done is to duplicate the original postings in the new groups. If you don't believe me, fine. Go and look at r.r.policy and see how many articles were ONLY posted to policy. Find any?

While I'm in favour of reducing the traffic volume, I'm not in favour of adding, wholus bolus, a great whack of new newsgroups that will only duplicate traffic even further.

As far as I'm concerned, the new proposal is not wanted, not needed, and not likely to result in any improvement.

Robert Smits  
VE7EMD  
Ladysmith B.C.  
e-mail: emd@ham.almanac.bc.ca

There is \*no\* idiotproof filter.  
Idiots are proof against anything!  
- Richard Chycoski, VE7CVS

-----  
Date: Sun, 4 Apr 1993 19:59:32 GMT  
From: swrinde!gatech!udel!gvls1!gvlf9-q!rossi@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Some R5/R7 antenna questions...  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

A friend is considering putting up a Cushcraft R5 or possibly R7 and he (and I) have a few questions.

1. In general how does the performance of a R5/R7 at lets say 20 feet (mounted on the roof of the house, etc) compare to a plain old half-wave dipole at similar height.
2. Can the R5/R7 be mounted horizontal? If so, anyone ever try mounting 2 of them back-to-back on a common mast and feeding both of them? If you rotated the mast it could be interesting.
3. Anyone ever use a R5 or R7 at significant heights above the ground. I would guess that most R5/R7s are mounted around 20 feet or so above ground (roof mount, etc) but has anyone ever tried one on a 60-70 foot tower? Or higher? How did it perform?

Any other comments for or against the R5 and R7 would be appreciated.

=====  
Pete Rossi - WA3NNA                      rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM

Paramax Systems Corporation - a Unisys Company  
Valley Forge Engineering Center - Paoli, Pennsylvania

=====

-----

Date: 5 Apr 93 09:42:14 EST  
From: titan.ksc.nasa.gov!k4dii.ksc.nasa.gov!user@ames.arpa  
Subject: Wanted: Best Source for Antenna Wire  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2384@tekgen.bv.tek.com>, brucec@tekgen.bv.tek.com (Bruce Cheney) wrote:

> I am looking for a LOT of antenna wire to put up  
> some antenna's in my trees. Does anyone have  
> a recommendation for a vendor for bulk wire?  
> I need something like #14, flexible, and hopefully  
> insulated.

Bruce-

Although it doesn't quite fit your stated requirement, Radio Shack sells 14 guage, 7 strand bare copper antenna wire, in 70 foot lengths for \$5.99. They have insulators as well. Wire should also be available from the Ham stores such as Amateur Electronic Supply. The AES catalog lists 14 gauge stranded Belden antenna wire at \$16.95 for 100 feet, or \$149.95 for 1000 feet.

Although it is quite un-flexible, the strongest wire is solid, and is called "copper clad". This is a steel-core wire with a copper coating. The main advantage of this, is that it doesn't stretch like copper. If a limb were to fall on it, the supporting wire or an insulator might break, but the copper-clad probably wouldn't (depending on the guage). I think I recall seeing enamel-insulated copper-clad many years ago, but it may no longer be made.

At one time, copper-clad could be purchased at a lower price from farm supply stores, as "electric fence wire". However, I think galvanized wire is what is currently available. If there is such a store nearby, you may want to check.

73, Fred, K4DII

fred-mckenzie@ksc.nasa.gov

-----

Date: 5 Apr 1993 15:52:40 GMT  
From: sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!bobw@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Worked Him????

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jmaynard@nyx.cs.du.edu (Jay Maynard) writes:

> In article <1pl679INNfs6@hp-col.col.hp.com> bobw@col.hp.com (Bob Witte) writes:

> > Wow. I've heard disagreement on what constitutes a legit contact

> > before but this is the first time I've heard someone claim

> > that you don't even have to copy the other station's callsign.

>

> How is this different from listening to the frequency long enough to hear

> someone else give his callsign, and counting that? I'm certain that goes on

> more often than anyone thinks.

> --

> Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can

> jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.

> "I can understand if it just won't work but I think locking up my system

> to tell me this is a little excessive." -- Steve Luzynski

The fact that something occurs doesn't make it right.

If I never copy the guys callsign directly, I didn't work him. Period.

Its really not all that complicated.

Bob Witte / HP Colo Springs / bobw@col.hp.com / KB0CY

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 1993 16:04:15 GMT

From: sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!bobw@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Worked Him????

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

> > Wow. I've heard disagreement on what constitutes a legit contact

> > before but this is the first time I've heard someone claim

> > that you don't even have to copy the other station's callsign.

> > Sorry, dude.

>

> I guess if you get a QSL card from another ham and you find that you had

> copied his call wrong, you would tear up his card and not respond? Sheesh

I would tear up the card or send it back to him with "Not in Log"  
if he supplied with return postage or an SASE. And yes, I have done  
this.

> first time I have had the nitpickers turn their sorry guns on me. As

> I said, my card in the mail to him and all the "Sorry dudes" who made

> comments, whoops, make that those who said "Sorry dude" comments won't

> matter in the least when that card is on my wall. Also, as I have better

> things to do then read idiot nitpicking comments about the above subject

> this now goes in my KILL file where I should have put it long ago.

No credible person talks about "working" someone when they haven't even copied the callsign! This ain't nitpickin'.

And I think Charles Morrison nailed it on the head:

>Count it if you want, but if someone has to tell you the callsign, your  
>cheatin, and you just as soon make up a whole slew of calls and send them  
>in, because hey, who cares, its the honor system right?

It's your wall, your "accomplishment". Do whatever you want.

Bob Witte / HP Colo Springs / bobw@col.hp.com / KB0CY

-----  
Date: Mon, 5 Apr 1993 14:47:39 GMT  
From: usc!wupost!uwm.edu!linac!att!cbnewsm!jeffj@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Worked Him????  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1pl679INNfs6@hp-col.col.hp.com> bobw@col.hp.com (Bob Witte) writes:

>jeffj@cbnewsm.cb.att.com (jeffrey.n.jones) writes:

>> NF6?/KP1. Knew that he was on Navassa island after consulting my chart  
>> of calls and had his call just missing one letter. Let's see, oh yes, I  
>> heard him, he heard me, I sent him a report, he sent me a report. Hmmm,  
>> sounds like a contact to me. Maybe not a perfect one. Anyway in the  
>> log it goes and a QSL card is on it's way. As this is the second comment  
>> I have had on the validity of QSO all I can say is "Sorry guys, it's  
>> valid to me and to the DX and that's all that really matters." 73!  
>>

> Wow. I've heard disagreement on what constitutes a legit contact  
> before but this is the first time I've heard someone claim  
> that you don't even have to copy the other station's callsign.  
> Sorry, dude.

I guess if you get a QSL card from another ham and you find that you had copied his call wrong, you would tear up his card and not respond? Sheesh first time I have had the nitpickers turn their sorry guns on me. As I said, my card in the mail to him and all the "Sorry dudes" who made comments, whoops, make that those who said "Sorry dude" comments won't matter in the least when that card is on my wall. Also, as I have better things to do then read idiot nitpicking comments about the above subject this now goes in my KILL file where I should have put it long ago.

Jeff  
sorry remarks and

--

Jeff Jones AB6MB | OPPOSE THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT!  
jeffj@seeker.mystic.com | Canada/USA Free Trade cost Canada 400,000 jobs.  
Infolinc BBS 415-778-5929 | Want to guess how many we'll lose to Mexico?

-----

Date: Sun, 4 Apr 1993 14:18:25 GMT  
From: swrinde!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!darwin.sura.net!jhunix.hcf.jhu.edu!  
bogus.sura.net!news-feed-1.peachnet.edu!gatech!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.UCSD.EDU  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <jfhC4vww3.I8F@netcom.com>, <1993Apr3.154019.28003@ke4zv.uucp>,  
<jfhC4xIGt.ACw@netcom.com>tech  
Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.UUCP (Gary Coffman)  
Subject : Re: ARRL living in the past? (was Re: mot

In article <jfhC4xIGt.ACw@netcom.com> jfh@netcom.com (Jack Hamilton) writes:  
>gary@ke4zv.UUCP (Gary Coffman) wrote:

>>More to the point, if they're close enough to share a QST, they can do  
>>it, family benefit or not. I distrust special benefits to group entities,  
>>be they families, clubs, or multinational corporations. I think each  
>>individual deserves equal and \*individual\* treatment. Who they do or do  
>>not associate with should have no bearing on rates they pay, or benefits  
>>they receive.

>

>So you propose that the family membership be eliminated?

In a manner of speaking, yes. A different approach with a similar effect  
is what I propose. That is to divorce the QST subscription from basic  
membership. That way a family could still join the League, but only one  
member need subscribe to QST. The practical effect would be the same,  
but families wouldn't have any special status. This isn't going to happen  
though. The League has rejected this suggestion in the past.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV		You make it,		gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems		we break it.		uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way		Guaranteed!		emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244				

-----

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #419

\*\*\*\*\*